# MEDICAL FEE DISPUTE RESOLUTION FINDINGS AND DECISION

## **GENERAL INFORMATION**

Requestor Name Respondent Name

HENDRICK MEDICAL CENTER TEXAS MUTUAL INSURANCE COMPANY

MFDR Tracking Number Carrier's Austin Representative

M4-98-3490 Box Number 54

**MFDR Date Received** 

July 19, 1997

# **REQUESTOR'S POSITION SUMMARY**

**Requestor's Position Summary:** "This dispute involves reimbursement under rule 134.400 now void. Carrier has refused reconsideration of reimbursement at a fair and reasonable level. Minimum reimbursement should be at the minimum of the Facility Fee Ratio, 85% of billed charges, established by TWCC as fair and reasonable payment for services billed prior to September, 1992."

Amount in Dispute: \$2,815.63

## **RESPONDENT'S POSITION SUMMARY**

<u>Respondent's Position Summary</u>: "The TWCC has already made a factual determination that a flat rate of payment per day for hospital s that provide inpatient acute care services to compensation claimants meets the statutory standards imposed by §413.011, Tex. Labor Code."

Response Submitted by: Texas Workers' Compensation Insurance Fund

# **SUMMARY OF FINDINGS**

| Dates of Service                        | Disputed Services           | Amount In<br>Dispute | Amount Due |  |
|---|-----------------------------|----------------------|------------|--|
| January 13, 1997 to<br>January 17, 1997 | Inpatient Hospital Services | \$2,815.63           | \$0.00     |  |

## FINDINGS AND DECISION

This medical fee dispute is decided pursuant to Texas Labor Code §413.031 and applicable rules of the Texas Department of Insurance, Division of Workers' Compensation.

### **Background**

- 1. 28 Texas Administrative Code §133.305 sets forth general provisions regarding dispute of medical bills.
- 2. 28 Texas Administrative Code §141.1 sets out procedures for requesting a benefit review conference.

#### Issues

- 1. Did the requestor submit copies of all required documentation with the request?
- 2. Is the requestor entitled to additional reimbursement?

## **Findings**

- 1. 28 Texas Administrative Code §133.305(d)(7), effective June 3, 1991, 16 *Texas Register* 2830, requires that the request shall include "copies of all written communications and memoranda relating to the dispute." Review of the documentation submitted by the requestor finds that the request does not include a copies of any medical records to support the services in dispute. Nor did the requestor submit copies of any explanations of benefits regarding the insurance carrier's payment or denial of the disputed services. The Division concludes that the requestor has not met the requirements of §133.305(d)(7).
- 2. This dispute relates to inpatient hospital services. The former agency's Acute Care Inpatient Hospital Fee Guideline at 28 Texas Administrative Code §134.400, 17 Texas Register 4949, was declared invalid in the case of Texas Hospital Association v. Texas Workers' Compensation Commission, 911 South Western Reporter Second 884 (Texas Appeals Austin, 1995, writ of error denied January 10, 1997). As no specific fee guideline existed for acute care inpatient hospital services during the time period that the disputed services were rendered, the 1991 version of 28 Texas Administrative Code §134.1(f) applies as the proper Division rule to address fee payment issues in this dispute, as confirmed by the Court's opinion in All Saints Health System v. Texas Workers' Compensation Commission, 125 South Western Reporter Third 96 (Texas Appeals Austin, 2003, petition for review denied). 28 Texas Administrative Code §134.1(f), effective October 7, 1991, 16 Texas Register 5210, requires that "Reimbursement for services not identified in an established fee guideline shall be reimbursed at fair and reasonable rates as described in the Texas Workers' Compensation Act, sec. 8.21(b), until such period that specific fee guidelines are established by the commission."

The former Texas Workers' Compensation Act section 8.21 was repealed, effective September 1, 1993 by Acts 1993, 73rd Legislature, chapter 269, section 5(2). Therefore, for services rendered on or after September 1, 1993, the applicable statute is the former version of Texas Labor Code section 413.011(b), Acts 1993, 73rd Legislature, chapter 269, section 1, effective September 1, 1993, which states:"

Guidelines for medical services fees must be fair and reasonable and designed to ensure the quality of medical care and to achieve effective medical cost control. The guidelines may not provide for payment of a fee in excess of the fee charged for similar treatment of an injured individual of an equivalent standard of living and paid by that individual or by someone acting on that individual's behalf. The commission shall consider the increased security of payment afforded by this subtitle.

#### Review of the submitted documentation finds that:

- The requestor's position statement asserts, "Minimum reimbursement should be at the minimum of the Facility Fee Ratio, 85% of billed charges, established by TWCC as fair and reasonable payment for services billed prior to September, 1992."
- The Division notes that former Division rule at 28 Texas Administrative Code §42.110(b)(2) is not applicable to the services in dispute. As noted above, the 1991 version of 28 Texas Administrative Code §134.1(f) applies as the proper Division rule to address fee payment issues in this dispute, as confirmed by the Court's opinion in All Saints Health System v. Texas Workers' Compensation Commission, 125 South Western Reporter Third 96 (Texas Appeals Austin, 2003, petition for review denied).
- The Division finds that a reimbursement methodology based upon payment of a hospital's billed charges, or a percentage of billed charges, does not produce an acceptable payment amount, in the absence of other documentation or data to support that the amount requested is fair and reasonable. Such a reimbursement methodology would leave the ultimate reimbursement in the control of the hospital, thus defeating the statutory objective of effective cost control and the statutory standard not to pay more than for similar treatment of an injured individual of an equivalent standard of living. It also provides no incentive to contain medical costs. Therefore, a reimbursement amount that is calculated based upon a percentage of a hospital's billed charges cannot be favorably considered when no other data or documentation was submitted to support that the payment amount being sought is a fair and reasonable reimbursement for the services in dispute.
- The requestor did not submit documentation to support that the payment amount being sought is a fair and reasonable rate of reimbursement for the disputed services.

• The requestor does not discuss or explain how payment of the requested amount would satisfy the requirements of 28 Texas Administrative Code §134.1.

The request for additional reimbursement is not supported. Thorough review of the submitted documentation finds that the requestor has not demonstrated or justified that payment of the amount sought would be a fair and reasonable rate of reimbursement for the services in dispute. Additional payment cannot be recommended.

### Conclusion

For the reasons stated above, the Division finds that the requestor has not established that additional reimbursement is due. As a result, the amount ordered is \$0.00.

#### **ORDER**

Based upon the documentation submitted by the parties and in accordance with the provisions of Texas Labor Code §413.031, the Division has determined that the requestor is entitled to \$0.00 reimbursement for the disputed services.

| <u>Αι</u> | <u>ıth</u> | or | <u>ize</u> | d | Si | gn | <u>at</u> | ur | e |
|-----------|------------|----|------------|---|----|----|-----------|----|---|
|           |            |    |            |   |    |    |           |    |   |

|           | Grayson Richardson                     | September 25, 2015 |  |
|-----------|--|--------------------|--|
| Signature | Medical Fee Dispute Resolution Officer | Date               |  |

#### YOUR RIGHT TO APPEAL

Either party to this medical fee dispute may appeal this decision by requesting a contested case hearing. A completed **Request for a Medical Contested Case Hearing** (form **DWC045A**) must be received by the DWC Chief Clerk of Proceedings within **twenty** days of your receipt of this decision. A request for hearing should be sent to: Chief Clerk of Proceedings, Texas Department of Insurance, Division of Workers Compensation, P.O. Box 17787, Austin, Texas, 78744. The party seeking review of the MFDR decision shall deliver a copy of the request for a hearing to all other parties involved in the dispute at the same time the request is filed with the Division. **Please include a copy of this** *Medical Fee Dispute Resolution Findings and Decision*, together with any other required information specified in 28 Texas Administrative Code §148.3(c), including a **certificate of service demonstrating that the request has been sent to the other party.** 

Si prefiere hablar con una persona en español acerca de ésta correspondencia, favor de llamar a 512-804-4812.